

Enhancing the Culture of Productive Consciousness in a Developing Economy: The Nigerian's Cultural Experience

Eseikpa, Ofem Ibor, Ph.D, Anoke Okoi Otu, Ph.D & Ejukwa, Joseph Ashia

Department of Public Administration, Faculty of Administration and Management Sciences,
University of Calabar - Calabar, Nigeria. E-Mail: ofem_ibor@yahoo.com

Department of Guidance and Counseling, Faculty of Educational Foundation, University of
Calabar – Calabar, Nigeria. Email: anokeotu@yahoo.com

Chief Ethnographer, National Museum Old Residency Calabar, Nigeria. Email:
ejukwajoe@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

The promotion of economic development and productivity in Nigeria requires a cultural policy framework that fosters productive consciousness while recognising the country's cultural diversity and heritage. This paper examines the relationship between cultural consciousness, cultural practices, and economic productivity in a developing economy. It argues that enhancing cultural productivity requires a praxis-oriented cultural transformation that draws on anthropological and sociological perspectives while discouraging harmful practices, such as human sacrifice, and challenging cultural attitudes that undermine Western education. The paper further highlights the importance of cultural awareness, shared values, and institutional support in strengthening the contribution of culture to economic development. It conceptualises cultural consciousness as a resource for organised economic activity, environmental productivity, respect for cultural diversity, and the preservation of cultural heritage. The paper recommends increased funding for cultural initiatives, effective supervision and protection of cultural heritage, and stronger institutional mechanisms to improve cultural productivity and its contribution to national development.

Keywords: Cultural policy, cultural awareness, cultural consciousness, economic development, cultural productivity.

INTRODUCTION

The promotion of productive consciousness through cultural policy in Nigeria remains a significant challenge because the aspirations and practical needs of the people have not been adequately addressed. Cultural policy is shaped by the traditions, beliefs, customs, and values upheld by individuals, communities, and groups of communities. Nigeria possesses considerable cultural and economic resources, including human capital, material resources, ethnic diversity, festivals, tourism attractions, and natural deposits. These resources provide opportunities for strengthening cultural awareness, promoting productivity, and supporting sustainable economic development.

Nigeria's cultural diversity is reflected in its linguistic composition. English serves as the country's official language and a major language of communication, while many Nigerians also speak their respective indigenous languages. Hausa, Igbo, and Yoruba are widely recognised as the country's three major indigenous languages, alongside numerous others, including Gbagyi, Ibibio, Nupe, Efik, Urhobo, Isoko, Ukwuani, Edo, Kanuri, Tiv, Fulfulde, Ijaw, and Idoma. Nigeria is home to more than 500 indigenous languages, reflecting its complex cultural and linguistic heritage. This diversity is associated with three major language families: Niger-

Congo, Afroasiatic, and Nilo-Saharan. The country's linguistic and cultural plurality highlights the importance of developing inclusive cultural policies that recognise and accommodate the distinct identities, values, and aspirations of its diverse communities.

Cultural policy encompasses traditional celebrations and the fundamental ways of life through which communities express their identities and transmit their values. These expressions include dances, beliefs, customs, festivals, music, and other cultural practices that promote social cohesion and reinforce collective identity. Christianity and Islam are the two predominant religions in Nigeria, alongside indigenous religious traditions. Religious institutions and cultural practices can contribute to knowledge acquisition, moral development, education, and social engagement, although their effects depend on the contexts in which they operate (Banks, 2014).

The promotion of productive consciousness through cultural policy can strengthen education, support social cohesion, encourage respect for diversity, and stimulate sustainable tourism and income generation. These contributions are particularly important in developing economies, where cultural resources can provide opportunities for employment, entrepreneurship, community development, and the preservation of heritage. Riches and Boyd (2005) describe culture as a complex whole encompassing art, habits, beliefs, morals, capacities, laws, and customs acquired by individuals as members of society. This conceptualisation suggests that productive consciousness is influenced by the social environment and developed through social interaction, transmission, learning, and imitation. Cultural ideas, traditions, and values therefore shape individual behaviour and can influence economic activities and development outcomes.

Similarly, Onyekpere (2014) identifies marriage practices, greetings, dressing, festivals, music, dancing, and religion as important cultural elements. The transmission of these elements occurs through symbols, language, and social interaction. Cultural consciousness is therefore not simply innate; it is learned and reinforced through participation in society. However, cultural practices may also influence the range of behaviours individuals consider acceptable, making it important for cultural policy to preserve constructive traditions while encouraging practices that support education, innovation, social inclusion, and economic productivity.

These considerations are relevant to Nigeria's efforts to promote productive consciousness through cultural mobilisation and public enlightenment. This paper examines the development of cultural policy and productive consciousness in Nigeria, assesses progress and persistent challenges, and proposes measures to strengthen cultural institutions and improve their contribution to socioeconomic development. It argues that effective cultural policy requires more than the formal recognition of cultural diversity; it also demands practical measures that connect cultural values and resources with the everyday needs and development aspirations of the population.

Godwin (2009) attributes weaknesses in Nigeria's efforts to promote productive consciousness to factors such as the neglect of linguistic considerations, inadequate government commitment, the country's heterogeneous social composition, and the limited implementation of culturally responsive policy frameworks. These concerns suggest that cultural policies may have limited impact when they fail to account for the languages, norms, traditions, and environmental circumstances of the communities they are intended to serve. A more effective approach would integrate cultural awareness with education, environmental responsibility, community participation, and locally relevant development initiatives.

Language is particularly important in this process because it influences access to education, public information, and cultural knowledge. Yuriy and Roland (2010) highlight concerns about literacy and the implications of using English as the principal language of formal

communication in a multilingual society. Reliance on English in public education and political communication may create barriers for individuals who have limited proficiency in the language, thereby restricting their participation in development initiatives. The United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO) has consistently emphasised the importance of mother-tongue and home-language-based education, particularly in the early years of learning. Integrating indigenous languages into educational and cultural programmes could therefore strengthen cultural understanding, improve access to knowledge, and promote productive consciousness. This approach need not displace English; rather, it would recognise the complementary roles of indigenous languages and English in education, communication, and national development.

Concept of Productive Consciousness

In academic discourse, cultural policy, productive consciousness, and economic development are interconnected concepts that relate to awareness, growth, and the effective utilisation of available resources. The development and preservation of indigenous beliefs, traditions, and cultural practices are important for sustaining cultural identity and promoting socioeconomic development. Productivity generally refers to the amount of output generated from available inputs. From this perspective, productive consciousness involves developing an awareness of how cultural, human, material, and institutional resources can be mobilised to improve economic performance. Government agencies, non-governmental organisations, and community institutions all have roles to play in promoting this awareness and supporting productive activities.

Productive consciousness may be understood as an individual's awareness of present circumstances, responsibilities, and activities, accompanied by an intentional effort to improve productivity and efficiency. It encompasses self-awareness, purposeful engagement, informed decision-making, and the effective management of available resources. In the context of a developing economy, productive consciousness involves recognising opportunities to improve individual and collective well-being through knowledge acquisition, skills development, innovation, and responsible participation in economic activities. William (2020) conceptualises consciousness in relation to an organism's state of awareness, subjective experience, introspection, and capacity to report or reflect on that experience. Applied to the present study, this perspective provides a basis for understanding how awareness may influence individual behaviour and productive engagement.

Cultural Consciousness

Cultural consciousness refers to awareness of, and respect for, cultural identities, values, beliefs, and practices, including those of one's own community and other cultural groups. It is an important component of cultural understanding, diversity, and competence. Cultural consciousness involves recognising, appreciating, and respecting cultural differences while encouraging constructive interaction among people from different backgrounds. In educational and institutional settings, it can support culturally responsive teaching, inclusive participation, and the application of cultural knowledge to decision-making. Heather (2019) associates cultural awareness with understanding and respecting cultural differences and applying relevant knowledge and skills in ways that reflect cultural values and their broader social and economic implications.

In a developing economy, cultural consciousness can influence individual choices, social relationships, economic participation, and attitudes towards development. Cultural values and practices may shape how people approach work, entrepreneurship, education, cooperation, and resource management. Cultural consciousness can therefore contribute to productive behaviour

when cultural knowledge is translated into practical activities that support social inclusion, economic opportunity, and collective well-being.

Developing Economy

A developing economy generally refers to an economy undergoing structural transformation while facing challenges such as relatively low per capita income, limited industrialisation, poverty, inadequate infrastructure, and unequal access to essential services. Such economies may also experience substantial informal economic activity, low levels of investment, and dependence on particular sectors, including agriculture and natural-resource extraction. Heather (2019) describes developing economies in terms of relatively low per capita income, structural transformation, significant informal sectors, limited industrialisation, and efforts to improve economic conditions through policies that promote productivity, diversification, and living standards.

Economic transformation in developing countries requires improvements in labour productivity, technological capabilities, skills development, infrastructure, and the efficient use of available resources. Productive consciousness is relevant to this process because it encourages individuals and institutions to understand economic opportunities, make informed choices, and recognise how their actions contribute to broader development objectives. When connected to cultural values and locally available resources, productive consciousness can support economic participation, improved livelihoods, and a more inclusive development process.

Cultural Policy Awareness

Cultural policy refers to the laws, strategies, programmes, and institutional actions through which governments and other relevant stakeholders protect cultural heritage and support cultural expression and production. It may cover creative and artistic activities such as filmmaking, painting, sculpture, dance, music, and literature, as well as the preservation of languages, traditions, and cultural heritage. Cultural policy also addresses questions of cultural diversity, access to cultural resources, and the development of cultural and creative industries.

The development and implementation of cultural policy vary across countries according to their institutional arrangements, legislative frameworks, historical experiences, and cultural priorities. Public institutions such as museums, libraries, galleries, and performance venues can provide important platforms for preserving heritage, encouraging creative expression, and expanding public access to cultural resources. Charles (2023), Mba and Nnamani (2025), and Abubakar, Lawan, and Suleiman (2024) are cited in relation to the variation in cultural policy across national contexts and its contribution to accessibility, cultural promotion, linguistic development, artistic expression, and the representation of ethnic diversity. These perspectives highlight the importance of policies that recognise indigenous cultures, address cultural marginalisation, and strengthen cultural industries.

Cultural awareness, as discussed by Asika (2020), concerns the understanding of cultural heritage and the policies, guidelines, and actions through which governments and communities protect and promote cultural values. It also relates to how professionals and members of society understand, experience, and interpret cultural heritage in everyday life. Cultural awareness may influence social relations, economic activity, and governance through values and practices such as respect for elders, religious observance, communal living, and intercultural interaction.

In a culturally diverse society, cultural exchange may also involve language, religious interaction, cultural syncretism, intermarriage, food, and other forms of social integration.

These processes can contribute to changing cultural identities and practices. Cultural policy should therefore balance the preservation of heritage with respect for diversity and the capacity of communities to respond constructively to social and economic change.

Cultural and Economic Consciousness in Nigeria

Nigeria's cultural and economic consciousness reflects the relationship between national identity, communal traditions, creative expression, entrepreneurship, and economic diversification. The country's cultural resources include traditional arts, festivals, music, crafts, tourism attractions, indigenous knowledge, and creative industries. These resources can support employment, income generation, tourism development, and economic growth when supported by appropriate institutions and investment.

Nigeria's economy also reflects a mixed economic structure, with significant contributions from petroleum, agriculture, services, and other productive sectors. Cultural resources can complement these sectors by creating opportunities for entrepreneurship, community development, and the commercialisation of creative products. In this context, economic consciousness refers to an understanding of economic opportunities, available resources, and the choices through which individuals and communities can improve their livelihoods and contribute to national development. Cultural consciousness strengthens this process by connecting economic participation with shared histories, languages, values, and a sense of belonging.

THEORETICAL EXPLANATION AND NEXUS

Human Capital Theory and Cultural Capital

This paper adopts Human Capital Theory as its principal theoretical perspective for examining the relationship between cultural consciousness and productivity in Nigeria. Human Capital Theory emphasises the contribution of education, training, knowledge, and skills to individual productivity and economic development. From this perspective, investment in human capabilities can improve efficiency, strengthen employment prospects, and enhance the capacity of individuals to contribute to economic activity.

The paper also draws on Pierre Bourdieu's concept of cultural capital, developed in his work with Jean-Claude Passeron (1977), to explain how cultural knowledge, practices, and resources may influence social participation and the distribution of opportunities. Bourdieu's framework distinguishes among embodied, objectified, and institutionalised forms of cultural capital. Embodied cultural capital comprises acquired dispositions, knowledge, and competencies; objectified cultural capital includes cultural goods such as books, artworks, and other material expressions; and institutionalised cultural capital involves formally recognised qualifications and credentials.

Applied to Nigeria, these concepts help explain how cultural knowledge, acquired skills, educational experiences, and access to cultural resources can influence productive participation. Objectified cultural capital, for example, may include artworks, literature, crafts, and other cultural products that can be preserved, exchanged, or commercialised. Such resources can contribute to employment, entrepreneurship, cultural tourism, and the development of creative industries.

Access to cultural capital, however, is not equally distributed. Individuals from economically advantaged households may have greater access to educational opportunities, cultural experiences, training, and networks that support their participation in productive activities. By contrast, individuals from disadvantaged backgrounds may face barriers to acquiring and

converting cultural resources into economic opportunities. These inequalities can contribute to differences in educational achievement, labour-market participation, employment prospects, and income.

Cultural capital can therefore operate as a resource for productive consciousness while also reproducing existing social inequalities. In Nigeria, strengthening cultural productivity requires policies that expand access to education, vocational training, cultural institutions, and opportunities to participate in cultural and creative industries. Such interventions can help broaden the distribution of cultural resources and improve their contribution to inclusive socioeconomic development.

Nevertheless, cultural-capital approaches have attracted criticism concerning their treatment of economic resources, the contexts in which their central propositions were developed, and the possibility of treating cultural differences as fixed or essential characteristics. These limitations highlight the need to examine cultural consciousness within Nigeria's particular socioeconomic, institutional, and historical circumstances rather than assuming that cultural resources produce uniform outcomes across communities.

INSTITUTIONS IN BUILDING CULTURAL PRODUCTIVE CONSCIOUSNESS IN NIGERIA

Institutions play an important role in developing productive cultural consciousness in Nigeria. They include formal organisations, legal and administrative arrangements, established procedures, and informal systems of social organisation. Examples include government agencies, legislatures, traditional councils, councils of elders, age-grade associations, community organisations, and institutions responsible for cultural education and heritage preservation. These structures influence how cultural norms, beliefs, customs, and traditions are maintained, interpreted, and transmitted across generations.

Formal institutions establish policies, regulations, rights, responsibilities, and procedures for implementing cultural initiatives. Informal institutions, meanwhile, shape everyday behaviour through customary practices, shared beliefs, social expectations, and community traditions. The interaction between formal and informal institutions can influence the implementation of cultural policy, the preservation of cultural identity, and the extent to which cultural resources contribute to productive activities.

Government can promote cultural and human-capacity development through cultural education, vocational training, skills development, communication and information initiatives, and support for private-sector participation in cultural and creative industries. These interventions can help communities preserve cultural knowledge while developing the competencies needed to convert cultural resources into productive opportunities. Effective institutional support can also strengthen traditional institutions, encourage innovation, and promote collaboration between public authorities, private organisations, and local communities.

However, weaknesses in institutional capacity can constrain these efforts. Limited coordination, inadequate funding, weak implementation mechanisms, and insufficient engagement with local communities may undermine cultural development initiatives. Local development councils and other community-level institutions are particularly important because they connect national policies with local priorities. Their effectiveness depends on their capacity to formulate relevant initiatives, allocate resources responsibly, and implement programmes that respond to community needs.

Institutional quality is therefore a central consideration in understanding the development of

productive cultural consciousness in Nigeria. Where local institutions lack the resources or authority required to implement policies effectively, cultural initiatives may fail to achieve their intended outcomes. Strengthening institutional capacity, accountability, coordination, and community participation is essential for ensuring that cultural policy contributes meaningfully to local development and national economic productivity.

CULTURAL POLICY IMPLEMENTATION

Culture provides an important foundation for the work of traditional institutions and community organisations in formulating, interpreting, and implementing policies. Nevertheless, even well-designed cultural policies may have limited impact when implementation mechanisms are weak or when the intended beneficiaries are excluded from decision-making. Effective cultural policy therefore requires not only appropriate formulation but also adequate financing, coordination, monitoring, and public participation.

Several challenges may undermine cultural policy implementation in Nigeria. These include inadequate enforcement, insufficient funding, fragmented governance arrangements, limited inclusiveness, inconsistent policy implementation, and weak coordination between traditional institutions and community associations, including councils of elders and age-grade groups. Uncoordinated government interventions may further complicate implementation by creating overlapping responsibilities, inconsistent priorities, and inefficient resource allocation. Such shortcomings can increase administrative costs and reduce the effectiveness of cultural programmes.

UNCTAD (2020) emphasises the importance of stakeholder participation in policy formulation and implementation. Applied to cultural policy, this perspective suggests that the involvement of traditional leaders, community associations, age-grade groups, civil society organisations, cultural practitioners, and other relevant stakeholders can improve the relevance and effectiveness of policy initiatives. Participatory processes can incorporate diverse perspectives, identify local needs, strengthen collective ownership, and improve accountability for implementation outcomes.

Inclusive governance is particularly important in culturally diverse societies because communities may differ in their languages, traditions, priorities, and development needs. Cultural policy should therefore establish mechanisms through which different groups can contribute to decision-making while ensuring that implementation remains coordinated and consistent with broader development objectives.

A more effective approach to cultural policy implementation in Nigeria would combine clear institutional responsibilities with adequate funding, appropriate regulatory frameworks, private-sector participation, and sustained community engagement. Investment should prioritise areas in which cultural resources can contribute to heritage preservation, education, creative production, tourism, employment, and economic diversification. Coordination across government institutions and community organisations would also help reduce duplication, improve resource utilisation, and strengthen the relationship between cultural identity and productive economic activity.

Ultimately, the development of productive cultural consciousness requires a policy framework that recognises culture not merely as a symbol of identity but also as a resource for learning, creativity, entrepreneurship, and socioeconomic development. By strengthening institutions and promoting inclusive participation, Nigeria can improve the contribution of cultural resources to sustainable economic growth while preserving the diversity and heritage of its communities.

PRODUCTIVE ENVIRONMENTAL CONSCIOUSNESS

Environmental productive consciousness refers to the awareness of how the environment, cultural practices, and institutional arrangements can be mobilised to promote socioeconomic development. In Nigeria, this can be strengthened through the effective implementation of laws, rules, and regulations, supported by accountability and inclusive decision-making involving age-grade associations, elites, traditional leaders, and other relevant stakeholders. Traditional institutions can contribute to an enabling environment by promoting equitable rules, protecting cultural resources, reducing unnecessary transaction costs, and discouraging illicit activities. Such an environment can facilitate cultural expression, encourage investment, and create opportunities for cultural productivity and entrepreneurship.

Traditional institutions can also provide an institutional framework for regulating cultural activities, guiding interactions, and establishing expectations among individuals, communities, and organisations. When these institutions function effectively, they can support domestic and international cultural exchange, strengthen the entertainment sector, and encourage investment in cultural and creative industries. The effectiveness of these institutions depends on the following characteristics:

- **Institutional effectiveness and efficiency:** Institutions should formulate appropriate policies, establish clear responsibilities, and enforce cultural regulations effectively.
- **Accountability:** Cultural institutions should be answerable for their decisions, resource allocation, and implementation of cultural policies.
- **Transparency:** Institutional processes should be open, understandable, and consistent with relevant customs, beliefs, and development objectives (OECD, 2015).
- **Inclusiveness and social cohesion:** Cultural governance should promote consultation, collaboration, and coordination among traditional authorities, community members, government agencies, and other stakeholders.

These institutional characteristics can strengthen productive environmental consciousness by creating conditions in which cultural resources are preserved, cultural activities are conducted responsibly, and cultural enterprises contribute to socioeconomic development.

THE ROLE OF CULTURE IN A DEVELOPING ECONOMY

In a developing economy, cultural considerations should form an integral part of policies designed to promote productive consciousness and sustainable human development. Culture influences individual behaviour, social relationships, institutional practices, and the ways communities understand and respond to economic opportunities. Its contribution to development extends beyond the preservation of traditions to include poverty reduction, social inclusion, citizenship development, and the strengthening of community institutions.

Poverty reduction and socioeconomic transformation require approaches that recognise the influence of cultural beliefs, values, customs, and social relationships on people's livelihoods and development opportunities. Culture is a complex phenomenon that can influence the functioning of institutions and the behaviour of individuals and groups. Consequently, research and collaboration among scholars, policymakers, and development organisations are important for understanding how cultural institutions operate and how they can contribute to sustainable development.

Mojtaba (2007) presents culture as an important instrument with political and social functions that can influence human experience across different contexts. Culture can also be understood

as a means through which communities express creativity, imagination, emotions, and shared experiences. It reinforces individual and collective identities while shaping people's sense of belonging and social consciousness. In a culturally diverse country such as Nigeria, cultural life encompasses numerous ethnic groups and subcultures whose members participate in the continuous construction and expression of national and community identities. These cultural identities coexist with contemporary industrial, urban, and modern cultural influences.

Cultural activities are therefore important to socioeconomic development, social cohesion, and the preservation and reconstruction of collective identity. Economic activities within the cultural sector can generate knowledge, promote learning, create employment, and support income generation. These outcomes can contribute to economic development while strengthening cultural identity. The relationship between culture and the economy is also shaped by political interaction and institutional arrangements, which influence the incentives and behaviours of individuals and communities. Tabellini (2005) highlights the importance of formal institutions in shaping such incentives and behaviour.

Tabellini (2009) further suggests that cultural characteristics can be examined through indicators such as trust, values, respect, beliefs, confidence, determination, and self-reliance. In Nigeria, historical experiences and institutional development provide important contexts for understanding variations in cultural practices and economic outcomes. Factors such as literacy, political institutions, regional economic conditions, urbanisation, and education may influence the relationship between cultural characteristics and long-term economic performance. Examining these factors can help explain how cultural values interact with institutional arrangements and socioeconomic development.

Yuriy and Roland (2010) discuss individualism and collectivism as cultural dimensions that may influence behaviour and social organisation. These orientations can shape how individuals respond to incentives, pursue innovation, and participate in collective activities. In the context of a developing economy, understanding the interaction between individual initiative and collective responsibility is important for designing cultural and economic policies that encourage innovation, cooperation, and sustainable productivity.

Overall, culture should be regarded as an important component of development policy rather than merely as a reflection of historical traditions. Its contribution to economic progress depends on the extent to which cultural resources, institutions, and practices are integrated into education, employment, entrepreneurship, tourism, and other productive activities.

THE NIGERIAN CULTURAL EXPERIENCE

Nigeria's cultural diversity provides opportunities to strengthen productive consciousness through governance, community engagement, media, and education. These mechanisms can support cultural preservation and socioeconomic development by incorporating indigenous knowledge, promoting local languages, and encouraging intercultural learning. Integrating indigenous knowledge into educational curricula can help learners understand their cultural heritage while developing skills and perspectives relevant to contemporary social and economic challenges.

Intergenerational community engagement is also important for transmitting cultural knowledge and sustaining traditions. Oral histories, traditional festivals, cultural performances, and community celebrations provide opportunities for older and younger generations to exchange knowledge and reinforce collective identity. At the institutional level, fair representation, civic education programmes, and collaboration among public agencies and community organisations can strengthen participation in cultural policy development and implementation.

Ikechukwu (2010) identifies several approaches to promoting cultural consciousness in Nigeria, including strengthening national unity, encouraging cultural reorientation and awareness, supporting cultural festivals, promoting interethnic relationships and marriages, expanding equitable access to education, encouraging patriotism, and improving access to economic opportunities. The author also highlights the importance of strengthening the National Youth Service Scheme, promoting indigenous languages, and considering a greater role for indigenous languages in national public life.

These measures suggest that cultural consciousness can be strengthened through policies that connect cultural preservation with education, national integration, and economic participation. However, such initiatives should recognise Nigeria's linguistic and ethnic diversity and promote inclusive participation without marginalising any community. The effective implementation of cultural policies therefore requires cooperation among government institutions, traditional authorities, educational organisations, civil society, and the private sector.

CONCLUSION

This paper highlights the importance of cultural consciousness and institutional support in promoting productivity and socioeconomic development in Nigeria. It identifies inadequate government commitment, institutional weaknesses, and the attitudes of influential social groups as potential obstacles to the effective development of productive cultural consciousness. Addressing these challenges requires the integration of cultural values, beliefs, and customs into development initiatives while ensuring that cultural policies respond to the needs and aspirations of diverse communities.

The discussion leads to two principal conclusions.

First, sustained scholarly investigation into the relationship between culture and development is necessary to understand how cultural values influence trust, individual behaviour, institutional performance, and economic growth. Research can help identify cultural practices that support productivity and social cohesion while informing policies that address harmful practices and persistent inequalities.

Second, the capacity of individuals and communities to function effectively within institutions is influenced partly by their cultural experiences, shared histories, and social expectations. Understanding these influences can help policymakers design institutions and programmes that are culturally responsive, inclusive, and supportive of collective development.

Consequently, promoting productive cultural consciousness in Nigeria requires a coordinated approach that combines effective governance, institutional capacity-building, cultural education, community participation, and investment in cultural resources. Such an approach can strengthen the contribution of culture to economic diversification, social cohesion, and sustainable national development.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on the foregoing discussion, the paper makes the following recommendations:

1. **Strengthen governance and accountability.** Government agencies and cultural institutions should establish effective governance mechanisms for supervising, monitoring, and evaluating cultural activities. These mechanisms should promote transparency, accountability, inclusive decision-making, and the responsible management of cultural resources.
2. **Build the capacity of cultural institutions.** Government agencies, corporate

Eseikpa, Ofem Ibor, Anoke Okoi Otu & Ejukwa, Joseph Ashia, 2026, *IJDDS*, 8 (3):13-24

organisations, and other relevant stakeholders should strengthen the organisational, administrative, and technical capacities of cultural institutions. Priority should be given to staff development, cultural education, skills acquisition, policy implementation, and the promotion of cultural and creative industries.

3. **Increase funding and institutional support.** Governmental and non-governmental organisations should provide adequate and sustainable financial support for cultural institutions, heritage preservation, indigenous knowledge initiatives, cultural festivals, and community-based cultural programmes. Funding should be allocated transparently and directed towards initiatives that generate social and economic benefits.
4. **Promote community participation.** Traditional leaders, councils of elders, age-grade associations, cultural practitioners, youth organisations, and other community stakeholders should be actively involved in the formulation and implementation of cultural policies. Their participation can help ensure that cultural initiatives reflect local needs and encourage collective ownership.
5. **Integrate cultural education into development programmes.** Educational institutions and relevant government agencies should promote indigenous knowledge, local languages, and intercultural learning while equipping individuals with the skills needed to participate in contemporary economic activities. This would help connect cultural preservation with human-capital development and productive consciousness.

REFERENCES

- Abubaka, L., Lawan, M. G and Suleiman, U. (2024). Confucianism and the political economy of Nigeria: Exploring Cultural Influences on Economic Development and Governances, *Manun International Journal of Multi-Disciplinary Journal of Research and Innovations (MIMJRI)*, 1(1).
- Asika (2026). Nigeria's cultural wealth must be monetized. April 19, 2026. *Punch News Paper, Nigeria*.
- Banks, G. (2014). Public inquires, public policy and public interest Insprasser & H. Tracy (ed)
- Boettke, P. J. (2009). "Review of Eric L. Jones, Cultures Merging: A Historical and Economic Critique of Culture". *Economic Development and Cultural Change*, 57(2), 434-437.
- Charles, D. (2023). The need for Nigerian consciousness, amanaonline.com.ng.
<https://amanaonline.com.ng>
- Heather M. (2019). Cultural Relevant Preparedness, STEAM Integrative Teaching in the Classroom, Copyright 2019, Handbook of Research on Assessment Practice and Pedagogical Models for Immigrant Students.
- Heather, M. (2009). Cultural relevant preparedness using steam integrative teaching in the classroom. Copyright 2019 Handbook of Research and Assessment Practice and Pedagogical; Models for Immigrant Students
- Joshua, S. & Samuel, O. (2010). Politics, violence and culture: The Ebira Tao Nigeria Experience. *Journal of Cultural Studies*, 3, 73.
- Mba, A. U., Anene, J. I., Charity-Jolly, A. M. and Nnanna, O. A. (2025). Ethnic consciousness: The bane to economic and socio-political development of Nigeria. *International Cultural Journal Research*, 3(1)
- OECD (2015). Post 2015 reflection series, element 8, policy coherence for inclusive and sustainable development.
- Porter, M. E. (2000). "Attitudes, values, beliefs and the micro-economics of prosperity". In Ed. Lawrence Harrison and Samuel Huntington *Culture Matters: How Values Shape*

Human Progress. New York: Basic Books, 202–218.

Porter, M. E. (2000). *Institutions, Social Norms, and Economic Development*.

See <https://unctad.org/topic/least-developed-countries/productive-capacities-index>

Sturm, J. and De Haan (2001). "How Robust is the Relationship Between Economic Freedom and Economic Growth Really?". *Applied Economics*, 33, 839–844.

Tabellini G (2005). *Culture and Institutions, Economic Development in the Regions of Europe*, CESifo working paper no. 1492.

Tabellini G (2009) "Culture and Institutions, Economic Development in the Regions of Europe", *Journal of the European Economic Association*, forthcoming.

UNCTAD (2020) *UNCTAD productive capacities index focus on landlocked developing countries*, United Nations Publication, Geneva.

Yuriy G and Roland (2010) *Culture, institutions*
<http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstractid=1682575>.